



USE OF FORCE SUMMARY

Analysis Information

DR	10-187211	# of Deputies Using Force	1	Division	South Operations
Date	10/5/2010	# of Subjects		Sergeant	TIMOTHY JANSEN
Facility/Bureau		# of Witnesses		Lieutenant	
				Captain	
Off Duty?	No				
Type of Force	General				

Location

Address / Cross Streets	Aloma/Golden Langern		
City	Laguna Niguel		
Initial Call/Event			
Supervisor Present?	No	Converted?	Yes

Notification

Involved Employees

Employee Name	Injury / Details	Emergency Room?	Hospitalized	Blood borne pathogen/Needle Stick
MICHELLE RODRIGUEZ	Moderate (lacerations) See report narrative	No	No	No

Subjects

Subject Name Height Weight Gender Race DOB	Force Encountered Charges ID Type/#	Subject Injured? Admitted to Hospital Details of Injury & Treatment	Alcohol	Drugs	Mental	ADA	AB109	ICE	Parole	Pro Per	Probation
Spence, Thomas Andrew	Suspect aggressively	None No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

5'9" 140 Male White [REDACTED]	grabbed me by my upper body CPC 148	See report narrative										
--	--	----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Force Used

Employee Reason for Force	Subject Force Used	Head/Face/Neck	Chest/Torso	Groin	Back/Buttocks	L Arm/Hand	L Leg/Foot	R Arm/Hand	R Leg/Foot

Witnesses

Witness Name	Contact Information (if available)

Supervisor’s Review

OIS Investigation.

Audio / Video Review

Materials Collected

Subject Interview

Scene Documentation

Witness (es) Statement and Summary



Supervisor Questions

- What were the actions that led to this Use of Force?
- Were the force options or weapons in this incident effective?
- Were the force options or weapons utilized in this incident reasonable under Policy 300?
- Was relevant/necessary medical attention provided to department member(s) and the use of force subject(s)?
- Was a supervisor notified of the use of force as required by department policy?
- Was proper use of video and/or audio recording technology used in this force incident?
- Were any training issues identified? (Examples include: officer safety, tactical communication, or de-escalation techniques)
- Were any other policy violations identified (examples: professionalism, violation of pursuit policy, standard of contact, use of profanity, pvs)?

Departmental Reviews

Involved Employees

Employee Name	Sgt. Recommendation	Lt. Recommendation	Cpt. Determination
MICHELLE RODRIGUEZ	Pending	Pending	Pending

Sergeant's Conclusions

Sergeant's Recommendation

Managerial Review

Were all witness statements memorialized in the Supervisory Analysis of this use of force incident?

Was the use of force subject interviewed and the statements memorialized in the Supervisory Analysis of this use of force incident?

Are there any areas of training to be addressed? If yes, what are they? Corrected Actions taken?

Are the any areas of liability or risk management concerns associated with this use of force event? If yes, what are they?

This use of force was subject to automatic review due to one or more of the following reasons:

- Use of Force required admission to a hospital for medical treatment No
- Arrests for PC 148, with no additional charges No
- Use of carotid restraint No
- Ineffective use of ECD where additional force is necessary No
- Multiple deployments (drive stun, multiple cycles, more than one cartridge used) of the ECD No
- Use of less lethal munitions (40mm, 12 gauge super sock "bean bag") with no response from Homicide No
- Use of Force on subjects not involved in the original investigation (witnesses, passengers, etc.) No
- Use of Force on subjects released at the scene (includes cite and releases) No

Lt. Conclusions / Recommendations
